arXiv:1610.00436v1 [math.AP] 3 Oct 2016

S. V. Gryshchuk and S. A. Plaksa***

A SCHWARTZ-TYPE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM IN A BIHARMONIC PLANE

Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,
Tereshchenkivska Str. 3, 01601, Kiev-4, Ukraine
E-mail address: * serhii.gryshchuk@gmail.com, ** plaksa62@gmail.com

Abstract. A commutative algebra $\mathbb{B}$ over the field of complex numbers with the bases $\{e_1, e_2\}$ satisfying the conditions $(e_1^2 + e_2^2)^2 = 0$, $e_1^2 + e_2^2 \neq 0$, is considered. The algebra $\mathbb{B}$ is associated with the biharmonic equation. Consider a Schwartz-type boundary value problem on finding a monogenic function of the type $\Phi(xe_1 + ye_2) = U_1(x, y)\, e_1 + U_2(x, y)\, ie_1 + U_3(x, y)\, e_2 + U_4(x, y)\, ie_2$, $(x, y) \in D$, when values of two components U_1, U_4 are given on the boundary of a domain D lying in the Cartesian plane xOy. We develop a method of its solving which is based on expressions of monogenic functions via corresponding analytic functions of the complex variable. For a half-plane and for a disk, solutions are obtained in explicit forms by means of Schwartz-type integrals.

1. Monogenic functions in a biharmonic algebra

Definition 1. *An associative commutative two-dimensional algebra $\mathbb{B}$ with the unit 1 over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ is called biharmonic* (*see* [1, 2]) *if in $\mathbb{B}$ there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ satisfying the conditions*

$$(e_1^2 + e_2^2)^2 = 0, \qquad e_1^2 + e_2^2 \neq 0\,.$$

Such a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is also called biharmonic.

In the paper [2] I. P. Mel'nichenko proved that there exists the unique biharmonic algebra $\mathbb{B}$, and he constructed all biharmonic bases in $\mathbb{B}$. Note that the algebra $\mathbb{B}$ is isomorphic to four-dimensional over the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ algebras considered by A. Douglis [3] and L. Sobrero [4].

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification. 30G35; 31A30.
Key words and phrases. biharmonic equation; biharmonic algebra; biharmonic plane; monogenic function; Schwarz-type boundary value problem.
This research is partially supported by Grant of Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine (Project No. 0116U001528).

In what follows, we consider a biharmonic basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ with the following multiplication table (see [1]):

$$e_1 = 1, \qquad e_2^2 = e_1 + 2ie_2\,, \tag{1}$$

where i is the imaginary complex unit. We consider also a basis $\{1, \rho\}$ (see [2]), where a nilpotent element

$$\rho = 2e_1 + 2ie_2 \tag{2}$$

satisfies the equality $\rho^2 = 0$.

We use the euclidian norm $\|a\| := \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2}$ in the algebra $\mathbb{B}$, where $a = z_1e_1 + z_2e_2$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Consider a *biharmonic plane* $\mu := \{\zeta = x\,e_1 + y\,e_2 : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ which is a linear span of the elements e_1, e_2 of the biharmonic basis (1) over the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. With a domain D of the Cartesian plane xOy we associate the congruent domain $D_\zeta := \{\zeta = xe_1 + ye_2 : (x, y) \in D\}$ in the biharmonic plane μ and the congruent domain $D_z := \{z = x + iy : (x, y) \in D\}$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Its boundaries are denoted by ∂D, ∂D_ζ and ∂D_z, respectively. Let $\overline{D_\zeta}$ (or $\overline{D_z}$) be the closure of domain D_ζ (or D_z). In what follows, $\zeta = x\,e_1 + y\,e_2$, $z = x + iy$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Any function $\Phi\colon D_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ has an expansion of the type

$$\Phi(\zeta) = U_1(x, y)\,e_1 + U_2(x, y)\,ie_1 + U_3(x, y)\,e_2 + U_4(x, y)\,ie_2\,, \tag{3}$$

where $U_l\colon D \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are real-valued component-functions. We shall use the following notation: $\mathrm{U}_l[\Phi] := U_l$, $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Definition 2. *A function* $\Phi\colon D_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ *is* monogenic *in a domain* D_ζ *if it has the classical derivative* $\Phi'(\zeta)$ *at every point* $\zeta \in D_\zeta$:

$$\Phi'(\zeta) := \lim_{h \to 0,\, h \in \mu} \bigl(\Phi(\zeta + h) - \Phi(\zeta)\bigr)\, h^{-1}\,.$$

It is proved in [1] that a function $\Phi\colon D_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is monogenic in D_ζ if and only if its each real-valued component-function in (3) is real differentiable in D and the following analog of the Cauchy – Riemann condition is fulfilled:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(\zeta)}{\partial y}\, e_1 = \frac{\partial \Phi(\zeta)}{\partial x}\, e_2 \quad \forall\, \zeta \in D_\zeta\,.$$

All component-functions U_l, $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$, in the expansion (3) of any monogenic function $\Phi\colon D_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ are biharmonic functions (cf., e.g., [5, 6]), i.e., satisfy the biharmonic equation in D:

$$\Delta^2 U(x, y) \equiv \frac{\partial^4 U(x, y)}{\partial x^4} + 2\frac{\partial^4 U(x, y)}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 U(x, y)}{\partial y^4} = 0.$$

Every monogenic function $\Phi\colon D_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is expressed via two corresponding analytic functions $F\colon D_z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $F_0\colon D_z \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the complex variable z in

the form (cf., e.g., [5, 6]):

$$\Phi(\zeta) = F(z)e_1 - \left(\frac{iy}{2}\,F'(z) - F_0(z)\right)\rho \quad \forall\,\zeta \in D_\zeta. \tag{4}$$

The equality (4) establishes one-to-one correspondence between monogenic functions Φ in the domain D_ζ and pairs of complex-valued analytic functions F, F_0 in the domain D_z.

Using the equality (2), we rewrite the expansion (4) for all $\zeta \in D_\zeta$ in the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$:

$$\Phi(\zeta) = \Big(F(z) - iyF'(z) + 2F_0(z)\Big)e_1 + i\Big(2F_0(z) - iyF'(z)\Big)e_2\,. \tag{5}$$

2. (k-m)-problem for monogenic functions

V. F. Kovalev [7] considered the following boundary value problem: to find a continuous function $\Phi : \overline{D_\zeta} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ which is monogenic in a domain D_ζ when values of two component-functions in (3) are given on the boundary ∂D_ζ, i.e., the following boundary conditions are satisfied:

$$U_k(x,y) = u_k(\zeta)\,, \quad U_m(x,y) = u_m(\zeta) \qquad \forall\,\zeta \in \partial D_\zeta$$

for $1 \le k < m \le 4$, where u_k and u_m are given functions.

We assume additionally that the sought-for function Φ has the limit

$$\lim_{\|\zeta\|\to\infty,\,\zeta\in D_\zeta} \Phi(\zeta) =: \Phi(\infty) \in \mathbb{B}$$

in the case where the domain D_ζ is unbounded as well as every given function $u_l,\ \ l \in \{k, m\}$, has a finite limit

$$u_l(\infty) := \lim_{\|\zeta\|\to\infty,\,\zeta\in\partial D_\zeta} u_l(\zeta) \tag{6}$$

if ∂D_ζ is unbounded.

We shall call such a problem by the (k-m)-problem. V. F. Kovalev [7] called it by a *biharmonic Schwartz problem* owing to its analogy with the classical Schwartz problem on finding an analytic function of the complex variable when values of its real part are given on the boundary of domain.

It was established in [7] that all biharmonic Schwartz problems are reduced to the main three problems: the (1-2)-problem or the (1-3)-problem or the (1-4)-problem.

It is shown (see [7, 8, 9]) that the main biharmonic problem is reduced to the (1-3)-problem. In [8], we investigated the (1-3)-problem for cases where D_ζ is either a half-plane or a unit disk in the biharmonic plane. Its solutions were found in explicit forms with using of some integrals analogous to the classic Schwarz integral. In [9], using a hypercomplex analog of the Cauchy type integral, we reduced the (1-3)-problem to a system of integral equations and established sufficient conditions under which this system has the Fredholm property. It was made for the case where the boundary of domain belongs to a

class being wider than the class of Lyapunov curves that was usually required in the plane elasticity theory (cf., e.g., [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]).

In [15], there is considered a relation between (1-4)-problem and boundary value problems of the plane elasticity theory. Namely, there is considered a problem on finding an elastic equilibrium for isotropic body occupying D with given limiting values of partial derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ for displacements $u = u(x,y)$, $v = v(x,y)$ on the boundary ∂D. In particular, it is shown in [15] that such a problem is reduced to (1-4)-problem.

In this paper we develop methods for solving the (1-4)-problem. Obtained results are mostly analogous to appropriate results in [8, 9] dealing with the (1-3)-problem, but in contradistinction to the (1-3)-problem, which is solvable in a general case if and only if a certain natural condition is satisfied, the (1-4)-problem is solvable unconditionally.

Note that hypercomplex methods and suitable "analytic" functions are used for investigation of elliptic partial differential equations in the papers [3, 4, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

3. Solving process of (1-4)-problem by means analytic functions of the complex variable

Consider a method for solving the (1-4)-problem that is based on the expression (4) of monogenic function by means of appropriate analytic functions of the complex variable.

For a continuous function $u : \partial D_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by $\widehat{u}$ we denote the function defined on ∂D_z by the equality $\widehat{u}(z) = u(\zeta)$ for all $z \in \partial D_z$.

In what follows, we assume that the domain D_z is simply connected (bounded or unbounded), and in this case we shall say that the domain D_ζ is also simply connected.

Lemma 1. *Let $u_l \colon \partial D_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $l \in \{1,4\}$, be continuous functions and $\Phi \colon \overline{D_\zeta} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a solution of the (1-4)-problem. Then the function F in (4) is a solution of the Schwartz problem on finding a continuous function $F \colon \overline{D_z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is analytic in D_z and satisfies the boundary condition*:

$$\operatorname{Re} F(t) = \widehat{u}_1(t) - \widehat{u}_4(t) \quad \forall\, t \in \partial D_z. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Consider the linear continuous multiplicative functional $f \colon \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(\rho) = 0$ and $f(1) = 1$. Then, it follows from the equality (2) that $f(e_2) = i$.

Thus, acting by the functional f on the equalities (3), (4), we obtain the relations

$$F(z) = f\Big(\Phi(\zeta)\Big) = U_1(x,y) - U_4(x,y) + i\Big(U_2(x,y) + U_3(x,y)\Big) \quad \forall\, z \in D_z\,.$$

Inasmuch as the functional f is continuous and Φ is a solution of the (1-4)-problem, the analytic function F permits a continuous extendibility to the boundary ∂D_z and satisfies the boundary condition (7). $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let the conditions of Lemma* 1 *be satisfied and, furthermore, the function* $yF'(z)$ *permit a continuous extendibility to the boundary* ∂D_z*, where* F *is a solution of the Schwartz problem with boundary condition* (7)*. Then the function* F_0 *in* (4) *is a solution of the Schwartz problem on finding a continuous function* $F_0\colon \overline{D_z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$*, which is analytic in* D_z *and satisfies the boundary condition*

$$\operatorname{Re} F_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\widehat{u}_4(t) - \operatorname{Im} t \operatorname*{Im}_{z\to t,\, z\in D_z} \lim F'(z)\Big) \quad \forall\, t \in \partial D_z. \tag{8}$$

Proof. We obtain the equality

$$U_4[\Phi(\zeta)] = 2\operatorname{Re} F_0(z) + y \operatorname{Im} F'(z) \quad \forall\, \zeta \in D_\zeta$$

as a corollary of the equality (5).

Under the conditions of Lemma 1, the Schwartz problem with boundary condition (7) is solvable. Taking into account that a function $yF'(z)$ permits a continuous extendibility to the boundary ∂D_z, we conclude that the function F_0 is a solution of the Schwartz problem with boundary condition (8). □

By virtue of Lemmas 1 and 2, we can assert that a solving of the (1-4)-problem is reduced to successive solving processes of two Schwartz problems with boundary conditions (7), (8), respectively. Therefore, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let* $u_l\colon \partial D_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$*,* $l \in \{1, 4\}$*, be continuous functions and, furthermore, the function* $yF'(z)$ *permit a continuous extendibility to the boundary* ∂D_z*, where* F *is a solution of the Schwartz problem with boundary condition* (7)*. Then a solution of the* (1-4)*-problem is expressed by the formula* (4) *or, the same, by the formula* (5)*, where the function* F_0 *is a solution of the Schwartz problem with boundary condition* (8)*.*

A particular case of Theorem 1 is the following theorem, where all solutions of the homogeneous (1-4)-problem, i.e., with $u_1 = u_4 \equiv 0$, are described for an arbitrary (bounded or unbounded) simply connected domain D_ζ.

Theorem 2. *The general solution of the homogeneous* (1-4)*-problem for an arbitrary simply connected domain* D_ζ *is expressed by the formula*

$$\Phi(\zeta) = a_1 i e_1 + a_2 e_2, \tag{9}$$

where a_1*,* a_2 *are any real constants.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, a solving process of the homogeneous (1-4)-problem consists of consecutive finding of solutions of two homogeneous Schwartz problems, viz.:

a) to find an analytic in D_z function F satisfying the boundary condition $\operatorname{Re} F(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \partial D_z$. As a result, we have $F(z) = ai$, where a is an arbitrary real constant;

b) to find similarly an analytic in D_z function F_0 satisfying the boundary condition $\operatorname{Re} F_0(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \partial D_z$.

Consequently, getting a general solution of the homogeneous (1-4)-problem in the form (5), we can rewrite it in the form (9). $\square$

Having an intention to develop a method for solving the inhomogeneous (1-4)-problem without an essential in Theorem 1 assumption that the function $yF'(z)$ permits a continuous extendibility to the boundary ∂D_z, we consider primarily questions about finding solutions of the inhomogeneous (1-4)-problem for some canonical domains, namely: a half-plane and a disk.

4. (1-4)-problem for a half-plane.

Consider the (1-4)-problem in the case where the domain D_ζ is the half-plane $\Pi^+ := \{\zeta = xe_1 + ye_2 : y > 0\}$.

Our aim is to find an explicit formula of solution of the (1-4)-problem for the half-plane Π^+ under the assumption that for every given function $u_l \colon \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $l \in \{1, 4\}$, its modulus of continuity

$$\omega_{\mathbb{R}}(u_l, \varepsilon) = \sup_{\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R} : |\tau_1 - \tau_2| \leq \varepsilon} |u_l(\tau_1) - u_l(\tau_2)|$$

and the local centered (with respect to the infinitely remote point) modulus of continuity

$$\omega_{\mathbb{R},\infty}(u_l, \varepsilon) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R} : |\tau| \geq 1/\varepsilon} |u_l(\tau) - u_l(\infty)|$$

satisfy the Dini conditions

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_{\mathbb{R}}(u_l, \eta)}{\eta}\, d\,\eta < \infty, \tag{10}$$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_{\mathbb{R},\infty}(u_l, \eta)}{\eta}\, d\,\eta < \infty, \tag{11}$$

In the following theorem all integrals along the real axis are understood in the sense of their Cauchy principal values.

Theorem 3. *Let every function $u_l \colon \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $l \in \{1, 4\}$, have a finite limit of the type* (6) *and the conditions* (10), (11) *be satisfied. Then the general solution of the* (1-4)*-problem for the half-plane Π^+ is expressed by the formula*

$$\Phi(\zeta) = S_{\Pi^+}[u_1](\zeta)\, e_1 + S_{\Pi^+}[u_4](\zeta)\, ie_2 + a_1 ie_1 + a_2 e_2\,, \tag{12}$$

where

$$S_{\Pi^+}[u_l](\zeta) := \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{u_l(t)(1 + t\zeta)}{(t^2 + 1)} (t - \zeta)^{-1}\, dt \quad \forall\, \zeta \in \Pi^+\,, \quad l \in \{1, 4\},$$

and a_1, a_2 are any real constants.

Proof. For each function u_l, $l \in \{1,4\}$, the following equalities are fulfilled

$$\lim_{\zeta\to\xi,\,\zeta\in\Pi^+} S_{\Pi^+}[u_l](\zeta) = u_l(\xi) + \frac{1}{\pi i}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u_l(t)}{t^2+1}\frac{1+t\xi}{t-\xi}\,dt \quad \forall\,\xi\in\mathbb{R}\,,$$

$$\lim_{\|\zeta\|\to\infty,\,\zeta\in\Pi^+} S_{\Pi^+}[u_l](\zeta) = u_l(\infty) - \frac{1}{\pi i}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_l(t)\frac{t}{t^2+1}\,dt\,,$$

which are proved in Theorem 1 of the paper [8]. It follows from these equalities that the function

$$\Phi(\zeta) = S_{\Pi^+}[u_1](\zeta)\,e_1 + S_{\Pi^+}[u_4](\zeta)\,ie_2 \tag{13}$$

is a solution of the (1-4)-problem for the half-plane Π^+.

The general solution of the (1-4)-problem in the form (12) is obtained by summarizing the particular solution (13) of the inhomogeneous (1-4)-problem and the general solution (9) of the homogeneous (1-4)-problem. □

Note that in [7] the (1-4)-problem for the half-plane is solved under complementary assumptions, viz.: the function u_l belongs to a Hölder space and $u_l(\infty) = 0$ for $l \in \{1,4\}$, that imply the conditions (10), (11).

5. (1-4)-problem for a disk

Now, let $D_\zeta := \{\zeta = xe_1 + ye_2 : \|\zeta\| \le 1\}$ be the unit disk in the biharmonic plane μ and $D_z := \{z = x+iy : |z| \le 1\}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.

In order to construct a solution of the (1-4)-problem for the disk D_ζ, as well as in the case of (1-3)-problem in the paper [8], we use the integral

$$S_{D_\zeta}[u](\zeta) := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\partial D_\zeta} u(\tau)(\tau+\zeta)(\tau-\zeta)^{-1}\,\tau^{-1}\,d\tau \qquad \forall\,\zeta\in D_\zeta \tag{14}$$

being an analog of the complex Schwartz-type integral.

It is proved in [8] that if the modulus of continuity

$$\omega(u,\varepsilon) := \sup_{\zeta_1,\zeta_2\in\partial D_\zeta:\|\zeta_1-\zeta_2\|\le\varepsilon} \|u(\zeta_1) - u(\zeta_2)\|$$

of the function $u : \partial D_\zeta \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Dini condition

$$\int\limits_0^1 \frac{\omega(u,\eta)}{\eta}\,d\,\eta < \infty\,, \tag{15}$$

then the integral (14) has limiting values on ∂D_ζ. Here we rewrite a formula for the mentioned limiting values (cf. the formulas (25), (26) in [8]) in the following form

$$\lim_{\xi\to\zeta,\,\xi\in D_\zeta} S_{D_\zeta}[u](\xi) = u(\zeta)\,e_1 + S_0[\widehat{u}](z)e_1 +$$

$$+\left(\frac{x-iy}{2\pi}\int\limits_{\partial D_z}\frac{\widehat{u}(t)}{t^2}\,dt+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{\partial D_z}\frac{\widehat{u}(t)}{t^3}\,dt\right)(e_2-ie_1)\quad\forall\,\zeta\in\partial D_\zeta\,, \tag{16}$$

where

$$S_0[\widehat{u}](z):=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+0}\int\limits_{\{t\in\partial D_z\,:\,|t-z|\geq\varepsilon\}}\widehat{u}(t)\,\frac{t+z}{t-z}\,\frac{dt}{t}\,,\qquad z\in\partial D_z\,,$$

An explicit formula for solution of the (1-4)-problem for the unit disk is obtained in the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let functions $u_l\colon \partial D_\zeta\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$, $l\in\{1,4\}$, satisfy conditions of the type* (15)*. Then the general solution of* (1-4)*-problem is expressed in the form*

$$\Phi(\zeta)=S_{D_\zeta}[u_1](\zeta)\,e_1+S_{D_\zeta}[u_4](\zeta)\,ie_2+$$
$$+\Big((b_1+ib_2)\zeta+b\Big)(e_1+ie_2)+a_1\,ie_1+a_2\,e_2\,, \tag{17}$$

where

$$b_1:=-\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\int\limits_{\partial D_z}\frac{\widehat{u}_1(t)-\widehat{u}_4(t)}{t^2}\,dt\,,\qquad b_2:=-\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{\partial D_z}\frac{\widehat{u}_1(t)-\widehat{u}_4(t)}{t^2}\,dt\,,$$
$$b:=-\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\int\limits_{\partial D_z}\frac{\widehat{u}_1(t)-\widehat{u}_4(t)}{t^3}\,dt\,,$$

and a_1, a_2 are any real constants.

Proof. Let us prove that there exists a particular solution of the (1-4)-problem in the form

$$\Phi(\zeta)=S_{D_\zeta}[u_1](\zeta)\,e_1+S_{D_\zeta}[u_4](\zeta)\,ie_2+$$
$$+\Big(b_1e_1+b_2ie_1+b_3e_2+b_4ie_2\Big)\zeta+c_1\,e_1+c_2\,ie_2\,, \tag{18}$$

where unknown coefficients $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_1, c_2$ are need to be found.

In order to single out components $U_l[\Phi]$, $l\in\{1,4\}$, of limiting values of the function (18) on ∂D_z**,** we use the equality (16) and get

$$U_1[\Phi(\zeta)]=u_1(\zeta)+(B_1-B_4+b_1)x-(A_1-A_4-b_3)y+D_1-D_4+c_1\,,$$
$$U_4[\Phi(\zeta)]=u_4(\zeta)+(B_1-B_4+b_4)x-(A_1-A_4-b_2-2b_3)y+D_1-D_4+c_2$$

for $\zeta\in\partial D_z$, where

$$A_l:=\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Re}\int\limits_{\partial D_z}\frac{\widehat{u}_l(t)}{t^2}\,dt,\quad B_l:=\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\int\limits_{\partial D_z}\frac{\widehat{u}_l(t)}{t^2}\,dt,$$
$$D_l:=\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\int\limits_{\partial D_z}\frac{\widehat{u}_l(t)}{t^3}\,dt,\qquad l\in\{1,4\}.$$

Now, it is clear that the identities $U_l[\Phi(\zeta)]\equiv u_l(\zeta)$, $l\in\{1,4\}$, hold on ∂D_z if $b_4=b_1=-(B_1-B_4)$, $b_3=-b_2=A_1-A_4$, $c_1=c_2=-(D_1-D_4)$.

Finally, substituting the found values for the coefficients b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_1, c_2 to the partial solution (18) of the inhomogeneous (1-4)-problem and adding the general solution (9) of the homogeneous (1-4)-problem, after evident identical transformations we obtain the formula (17) for the general solution of the (1-4)-problem for the unit disk. □

References

[1] V. F. Kovalev, I. P. Mel'nichenko, Reports Acad. Sci. USSR, ser. A. No. 8, 25–27 (1981) [in Russian].

[2] I. P. Melnichenko, Ukr. Mat. Zh. **38** (2), 224–226 (1986) [in Russian]; English transl. (Springer) in Ukr. Math. J. **38** (2), 252–254 (1986).

[3] A. Douglis, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **6** (2), 259–289 (1953).

[4] L. Sobrero, Ricerche di Ingegneria **13** (2), 255–264 (1934).

[5] S. V. Grishchuk, S. A. Plaksa, Ukr. Mat. Zh. **61** (12), 1587–1596 [in Russian]; English transl. (Springer) in Ukr. Math. J. **61** (12), 1865–1876 (2009).

[6] S. V. Gryshchuk, S. A. Plaksa, "Basic Properties of Monogenic Functions in a Biharmonic Plane", in *"Complex Analysis and Dynamical Systems V", Contemporary Mathematics* **591** (Amer. Math. Soc.: Providence, RI, 2013), pp. 127–134.

[7] V. F. Kovalev, "Biharmonic Schwarz problem", *Preprint No. 86.16. Institute of Mathematics, Acad. Sci. USSR*, (Inst. of Math. Publ. House: Kiev, 1986)[in Russian].

[8] S. V. Gryshchuk, S. A. Plaksa, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **83** (1), 193–211 (2013) (on-line version: http://www.ijpam.eu/contents/2013-83-1/13/13.pdf).

[9] S. V. Gryshchuk, S. A. Plaksa, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences **39** (11), 2939–2952 (2016) / (wileyonlinelibrary.com) DOI: 10.1002/mma.3741 /.

[10] S. G. Mikhlin, "The plane problem of the theory of elasticity", in *Trans. Inst. of seismology, Acad. Sci. USSR. no. 65* (Acad. Sci. USSR Publ. House: Moscow–Leningrad, 1935) [in Russian].

[11] N. I. Muskhelishvili, *Some basic problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity. Fundamental equations, plane theory of elasticity, torsion and bending. English transl. from the 4th Russian edition by R.M. Radok* (Noordhoff International Publishing, Leiden, 1977).

[12] A. I. Lurie, *Theory of Elasticity. Engl. transl. by A. Belyaev* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 2005).

[13] N. S. Kahniashvili, "Research of the plain problems of the theory of elasticity by the method of the theory of potentials", in *Trudy Tbil. Univer.* **50** (Publ. by Tbil. Univer.: Tbilisi, 1953) [in Russian].

[14] Yu. A. Bogan, Sib. Zh. Vychisl. Mat. **4** (1), 21 – 30 (2001) [in Russian].

[15] S. V. Gryshchuk, ArXiv preprint /arXiv:1601.01626v1 [math.AP]/, 12 pages (2016) (on-line version: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1601.01626.pdf).

[16] J. B. Diaz, American Journal of Mathematics **68** (4), 611–659 (1946).

[17] R. P. Gilbert, G. N. Hile., Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **195**, l–29 (1974).

[18] Robert P. Gilbert and Wolfgang L. Wendland, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, Section A: Mathematics **73**, 317–331 (1975).

[19] J. A Edenhofer, "Solution of the Biharmonic Dirichlet Problem by means of Hypercomplex Analytic Functions", in *Functional Theoretic Methods for Partial Differential Equations (Proc. of Intern. Symposium Held at Darmstand, Germany, April 12 – 15, 1976), Ser. Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **561**, Ed. by V. E. Meister, W. L. Wendland, N. Weck, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1976), pp. 192–202.

[20] G. N. Hile, Journal of Differential Equations **32** (3), 369–387 (1979).

[21] A. P. Soldatov, Differ. Uravn. **25** (1), 136 – 144 (1989) [in Russian]; English transl. in Differ. Equations **25** (1) 109–115 (1989).

[22] A. P. Soldatov, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat. **70** (6), 161–192 (2006) [in Russian]; English transl. in Izv. Math. **70** (6), 1233–1264 (2006).

[23] Tsoi Sun Bon, Differentsial'nye Uravneniya **27** (1), 169–172 (1991) [in Russian].